



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

| | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|

1492 LIVELY COFFEE
334 737-2238

Table 1. *Testosterone*

EXAMINER

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

Digitized by srujanika@gmail.com

DATE MAILED:

$\partial^{\alpha} \Psi \neq 0$ for $\alpha > 0$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

| | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 09/045,507 | Applicant(s) Macintyre |
| | Examiner Douglas Wille | Group/Art Unit 2814 |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Douglas Wille

(3) Michael Pollock

(2) Robert McConnell

(4)

Date of Interview Sep 14, 2000

Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement was reached. X was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: Potential new claims.

Identification of prior art discussed:

Lin

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant pointed out where he feels that the prior art of record does not show all the claimed features. Potential amendments to claims were discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form before it is effective as an Office Action.

DONALD WILLE
PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2814